

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/848,218

Applicant(s)

MAEDA ET AL.

Examiner

Joseph D. Torres

Art Unit

2133

All Participants:

(1) Joseph D. Torres.

(2) Robert N. Wieland.

Status of Application: New case

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: _____

Time: 10:46am

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: N/A.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
1-50

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

During a telephone conversation with Robert N. Wieland on 12/7/2004 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1, 2, 12, 16, 20, 24, 31, 35, 39, 43 and 47.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)